

THE LAW AND THE LAWLESS

Tbc

The law is essentially for the lawless. It is necessary to impose the law on those that have a deviant nature or personality. In this, I mean, there are people that don't seek to put forth the most positive and beneficial actions towards their fellow mankind, or physical properties as the case may be.

When we view this aspect of the law, it is just as accurate therefore to present that the law is in fact governed by those that dispense with the justice that it is intended to provide. The best example for this argument would be the mistreatment of individuals such as slaves, extended but not limited to those captured in war. In the matter of war prisoners we can see this in the war crimes legislations. In so much as those that participate in war are to the extreme not guided by the law, and this can be noted as the fringes of incivility. In most cases understood, as the law cannot be adequately established in the forum of one fighting for and preventing the loss of one's own life in such events. The first rule or law of war is self-explanatory: "Kill or Be killed." Referring to war crimes, the laws are established to prevent what man would cause savagery as the threat of the loss of life is no more immediately present. Thus, man is brought back under the jurisdiction of the law once again. We can therefore say that in this instance alone, there is justification and acceptance of "no law".

Other than that previously mentioned the law is established as for example in slavery where man without threat, but instead through a willful conscience decides to intrude upon the life or livelihood of individuals and thus the law is established to limit the behaviors and in a sense create a uniform and common method in establishing what we view as civil behavior, extended to what is known as civilization. Therefore, slavery was a lack of civilization by which it was necessary to impose laws and rules to prevent what society perceived as a whole as to what civilization was. Or should be.

Now, in the matter in Connecticut involving my situation, we can see that the refusal of the government officials to adhere to the law, was not only a violation of the law, it was a manifestation of the savagery. It can be viewed as an attack and malicious as the standards for treatment regarding individuals had already been established by the law. The actions of the public officials could be viewed as more cruel than slavery referring to the intent of the slave trade as during slavery there existed no established laws governing the behavior. This is why it was difficult and war was necessary in establishing new rules in society as it was necessary to do by force. What is most appalling concerning the present case is that the treatment has been decided and the officials even overstepped and ignored the blood that was shed along with all of the hardships and ill will the new laws enacted created.

We can conclude that with the implements in place for officials to conduct themselves in

a certain manner, and their will full misconduct in not adhering to the laws and rules is a flagrant violation. However, the discrimination of one individual as it relates to others, as race and religion being the factors involved. We can say for certain that the actions of the officials are in fact sinister, intentional and malicious, necessitating a closer, yet narrower view of the social and mental trappings of the officials. Although it appears kindly to be mere negligence. The presence of the law, not left to the discretion of a judge and the law governing the treatment of individuals not being convoluted can only lead to the next logical conclusion that the actions to subvert the law itself was in fact uncivil and in deed criminal.

Also, when such acts are agreed to in such a manner as this conspiracy involves several individuals, we should note that the law itself as assumed it appears by the violators are only illusionary, meant to quail the masses. As the laws themselves do not in principle pertain to the few that are charged as custodians of the law. Where the laws are unambiguous we can with reason proffer that the maintenance of such and the actions of those charged to dispense processes at the laws are both arbitrary and capricious to say the least. Then, finally it is more than reasonable to conclude that there exist no justice. When the actions of a certain segment of the population cannot stand to be correct at the law, and avoid these corrections entirely, we can also say without hesitation that the justice system has been hijacked and replaced with tyranny.

Therefore the laws are for the protection of the rights of those that would otherwise be violated by the uncivil segments of society that occupy positions of authority in the community. These are the same that take advantage of others, as they have no honor. When the law is present to regulate their actions and they ignore such laws, they are in fact, not honorable people and should be classified as criminals, as convicted criminals are of the same ilk. Thus, without conviction, in the presence of their ill deeds, they are just as much criminal and savage as those caught at the law as such.